,		
1 2		Redacted Honorable Judge Richard D. Eadie Hearing Date: February 29, 2012 Hearing Time: 9:00 AM
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF WASHINGTON
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF KING	
9	LANE POWELL, PC, an Oregon	
10	professional corporation,	No. 11-2-34596-3 SEA
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON MOTION TO
12	v	RECONSIDER ORDER COMPELLING DISCOVERY OF
13	MARK DECOURSEY and CAROL DECOURSEY	PRIVILEGED MATERIALS
14	Defendants	
15		
16	This matter having come for hearing be	efore this Court on Defendant DeCourseys'
17	Motion to Reconsider Order Compelling Discovery of Privileged Materials, and the Court	
18	having reviewed the records and files pertaining to this action, including:	
19	1. Lane Powell's January 24, 2012 motion, Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendants'	
20	Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Discovery Requests	
21	2. DeCourseys <i>Opposition</i> to the above motion	
22	3. DeCourseys' Motion to Reconsider Order Compelling Discovery of Privileged	
23	Materials	
24	4. Declaration of Mark DeCoursey and su	pporting exhibits
25	5. Lane Powell's response, if any RE	
26	6DeCourseys' reply, if any RS	
·	ORDER ON MOTION TO RECONSIDER O COMPELLING DISCOVERY OF PRIVILEO MATERIALS -1	RDER Mark & Carol DeCoursey, pro se GED 8209 172nd Ave NE Redmond, WA 98052 Telephone 425.885.3130

Ĭ	
1	
2	The Court rules as follows:
3	The Court's Order of February 3, 2012, Order on Plaintiff's Motion to Compel
4	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiff's First Discovery Requests, is VACATED.
5	The Court also FINDS THAT:
6	1. That attorney-client privilege and the waiver of privilege is a fundamental issue of
7	American law and jurispindence;
8	2. That the courts have held that a violation attorney-client privilege could easily be
9	gravely prejudicial to a case;
10	3. That Lane Powell has not provided any authorities to support its contention that
11	DeCourseys have universally waived privilege concerning the Windermere lawsuit;
12	And therefore, this court ORDERS:
13	4. That DeCourseys must respond to discovery requests in full with evidence and 10 ACCORDANCE WITH THIS COURT'S ORDER OF 2/3/2012.
14	materials that are not privileged in accordance with CR 26(b) and ER 502.
15	5.
16	
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18	
19	Signed this 29th day of Fehrung, 2012.
20	Rueell & Erdie
21	Judge Redacted D. Eadie
22	Submitted by:
23	/s Mark DeCoursey Mark DeCoursey
24	Pro se
25	
26	
	ORDER ON MOTION TO RECONSIDER ORDER COMPELLING DISCOVERY OF PRIVILEGED MATERIALS -2Mark & Carol DeCoursey, pro se 8209 172nd Ave NE Redmond, WA 98052 Telephone 425.885.3130